International Journal of Civil Engineering (IJCE)
ISSN (P): 2278–9987; ISSN (E): 2278–9995
Vol. 14, Issue 1, Jan–Jun 2025; 1–8
© IASET

International Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
Connecting Researchers; Nurturing Innovations

STUDY THE INVESTIGATES THE CHARACTERISTICS OF SELF-COMPACTING BOTTOM ASH CONCRETE

Mohd Faizan Abrar[1], Anuj Verma[2] & Prerak Kumar Swami[3]

[1]M.Tech Scholar, Rajshree Institute of Management and Technology, Bareilly

[2,3]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Rajshree institute of management and Technology, Bareilly

ABSTRACT

This project's goal is to investigate the manufacturing of self-compacting concrete (SCC), which could boost the building sector's profitability. This will be achieved by using bottom ash in different amounts in place of fine particles. The principal aim is to create self-compacting concrete (SCC), sometimes shortened to SCC, for application in the building sector. Numerous characteristics were examined, including split tensile strength, compressive strength, and durability elements including surface scaling of deicing salt and carbonation. Other characteristics like split tensile strength and compressive strength were also examined. After testing, the SCC was shown to have a cohesive structure, be flyable, and have a compressive strength between 16 and 32 MPa. The data employed in the current investigation led to the previously described conclusions. This finding can be ascribed to the materials examined in this inquiry. They may have positive effects on the economy and technical progress in addition to their current positive effects on the environment. They already benefit the environment, and this is on top of that. The use of bottom ash increased the concrete's overall resistance to deterioration over time. This improvement resulted from the material's greater durability.

KEYWORDS: *Compacting Concrete (SCC), Deterioration, Compressive and Split Tensile Strength etc*

Article History

Received: 12 Mar 2025 | Revised: 20 Mar 2025 | Accepted: 22 Mar 2025

INTRODUCTION

SCC could streamline construction by removing consolidation rehearsal and specialized labor. A more efficient building schedule results in consistent material placement and better concrete. Eliminating compaction causes lower placement costs, faster building, and increased productivity. This makes casting quieter, improves working conditions, and allows greater casting in densely populated areas. The adjustment caused these benefits. SCC handles casting issues including packed reinforcement and thin constructions better than vibrated concrete.

SCC requires that a medicine satisfy "fresh" requirements. The combination is designed to flow without weight-induced vibration. SCC outperforms International Standard 456-2000's "very high" workability. To be called self-compacting concrete, it must fill, pass, and resist segregation. To get high collapse, add a super plasticizer to the concrete mix. The combination's quantities must also be considered. This is necessary since the new mix's yielding values and thickness prevent bleeding, segregation, and settlement. Mineral additions like bottom ash, blast furnace slag, and limestone filler can increase concrete slump without increasing cost.

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM

The study tested ten concrete combinations, varying in Bottom ash amounts. The precise range was 15% to 35%, with a total weight of the powder quantity being tested. The mixtures were made by combining cement and Bottom ash in varying percentages (0-10%, 10%-20%, and 30%). The coarse aggregate was kept constant at 39% volumetric percentage, while the fine aggregate content remained constant at 45%. The w/p ratio in the concrete was projected to vary from 0.41 to 0.62, and the air content was estimated to be 2% of the overall volume. The resulting blend had the right properties. The casting process began after testing, and specimens were removed from molds after 24 hours to cure before further testing. Results were calculated by averaging three distinct readings to ensure accuracy and reliability of the data.

Specimen Examination

Study on Material Self-Compatibility Characteristics-

- Conducted compressive strength and split tensile strength tests on cubes and cylinders after 7, 28, 90, and 365 days.
- Conducted carbonation test using casting cylinders, cleaned and analyzed using phenolphthalein pH indicator.
- Assessed resistance to scaling of concrete surfaces treated with deicing chemicals after 90 and 365 days.
- Constructed specimens measuring 225mm by 225mm by 25mm for each mix built a dike along the top surface.
- Transferred specimens from humid to air storage for 14 days, exposed to atmosphere for six to eight hours, restarted every 24 hours, and cleaned every five iterations.
- Aimed to minimize impact of workability loss and data inability.

RESULTS DISCUSSION

According to the findings of the study, lowering the amount of bottom ash from 35% to 15% can increase the strength of standard concrete (SCC) by as much as 80% of its original value after 28 days. The fact that there is sufficient development of strength at a young age is demonstrated by this. After seven to ninety days, there is a direct association between the quantity of cement that was used and the variance in strength; the range from sixty to eighty-five percent demonstrates the most significant shift. When a ratio of 1:2 is employed for the substitution of bottom ash for cement in SCC mixes, the rate at which concrete develops its strength does not change. There was a 66-91% increase in strength between seven and one hundred fifty days, with the maximum value being F15BA0 over that time period. After seven days, distinct combinations demonstrated a 52% increase in efficacy, followed by a 58% rise after ninety days, and then a 59% increase after two hundred and forty days. The concentration of bottom ash was decreased from 35% to 15% while maintaining the same level of bottom ash content. This resulted in a 23% gain in strength after 28 days and an 18% increase after 365 days during the experiment. In the case of 10% bottom ash, the strength growth observed between 7 and 90 days ranged from 51% to 59%, but for various combinations, the growth ranged from 56% to 63% higher.

STRENGTH PROPERTIES

Compressive Strength

It has been established, on the basis of the findings of the study, that the strength of standard concrete (SCC) can be increased by as much as 80 percent after 28 days if the quantity of bottom ash is dropped from 35 percent to 15 percent. This is the case if the amount of bottom ash is decreased. With this information, it appears that a considerable maturation of strength takes place at a young age. There is a direct association between the quantity of cement that is used and the change in strength that takes place between seven and ninety days, with the strongest fluctuation occurring between sixty and eighty-five percent. This change in strength happens between seven and ninety days. It has been found that the replacement of bottom ash for half of the cement component in SCC mixes does not have any impact on the rate at which the concrete continues to acquire strength. In the span of time spanning from the seventh to the one hundred sixty-first day, the strength experienced a significant increase of 66%-91%, with F15BA0 exhibiting the greatest value.

After seven days of storage, the effectiveness of the various combinations increased by 52% and 58%, respectively, and after ninety days of storage, there was a rise of 59% in total effectiveness levels. There was a 23% improvement in strength after 28 days, and there was an 18% increase after 365 days of beginning the program. When the concentration of bottom ash was reduced from 35% to 15% while maintaining the same degree of bottom ash content, this was observed as a result. Comparatively, the increase in strength for various combinations was between 56% and 63% higher than the rise in strength for 10% bottom ash, which ranged from 51% to 59% between the ages of 7 and 90 days. This was the case when comparing the two ranges of strength.

Table 1: Compressive Strength (MPa)

Bottom ash (%)	0% Bottom ash	15% Bottom ash	25% Bottom ash	35% Bottom ash
7 days				
15	29.55	25.78	22.7	20.78
25	25.52	22.13	21.21	19.18
35	22.78	20.49	18.21	15.23
28 days				
25	35.19	35.07	28.89	25.77
25	31.47	27.83	25.47	21.22
35	29.62	25.44	23.33	18.22
90 days				
15	58.99	46.49	39.48	36.24
25	43.77	36.94	35.52	32.33
35	40.88	33.62	32.89	31.53
365 days				
15	61.24	48.78	45.34	41.81
25%	46.47	42.2	40	38.47
35%	43.73	40.3	38.51	36.5

Table 2: Compressive strength (MPa)

Bottom ash (%)	0% Bottom ash	15% Bottom ash	25% Bottom ash	35% Bottom ash
28 days				
15	2.4	2.26	2.12	1.9
25	1.83	1.69	1.62	1.48
35	1.55	1.48	1.41	1.27
90 days				
15	2.68	2.4	2.26	2.05
25	2.12	1.9	1.76	1.69
36	1.76	1.69	1.55	1.48
365 days				
15	2.96	2.82	2.54	2.26
25	2.33	2.19	2.12	1.97
35	2.12	1.97	1.82	1.69

Compressive strength decreased when bottom ash content was increased, and the authors of that study hypothesized that this might have been related to the need for more water. The results of the aforementioned study provide the basis for this conclusion. The concrete was found to be severely weakened when bottom ash was used to replace up to 30 percent of the fine aggregate. There was no mention of how much bottom ash was actually used. When 30% of the bottom ash was added to the combination after 7 days, the mixture's strength increased to between 81% and 90% of its original value after 28 days. This was more than the strength gains reported for zero and ten percent bottom ash, and the strength gains seen for ten percent bottom ash ranged from 51 to 59 percent between seven and ninety days. Using bottom ash and bottom ash as a substitute material in SCC at a rate of 30% showed strengths of 141-173% at 90 days and 162-200% after 365 days, in comparison to the strength tested after 28 days. These results were discovered in contrast to the power measured after 28 days. It was found that when bottom ash was used in place of fine aggregate, the resulting mix gained as much strength as the SCC mixes that didn't use bottom ash.

Split Tensile Strength

A study found that lowering the bottom ash percentage from 35% to 15% of a material increased its split tensile strength by 18% after 28 days and nearly 40% after 365 days. This was due to the immediate adjustment made. The strength values of F35BA0 after seven days were equivalent to those reported for bottom ash substitutions at a 40% concentration level in the whole powder. The absence of VMA and AEA in the mixes caused some of the potency to lose after 28 days. Despite higher water use and no VMA, the strength improvement was still within acceptable range.

The research indicates that the incorporation of bottom ash into reinforced concrete (SCC) can result in a substantial enhancement in the material's tensile strength throughout the course of its lifetime. Following a period of 28 days, the F35BA0, F25BA0, and F15BA0 exhibited an increase in their split tensile strength in comparison to their compressive strength after 28 days. Having said that, over a period of ninety days, these percentages dropped to 4.3, 4.8, and 4.5%, respectively. These percentages increased to 4.8, 5., and 4.8% after a year had passed. This gain rose with cement concentration, and the rise in split tensile strength varied with blend, ranging from 4.3% to 5%. Additionally, this gain reached a maximum of 5%. As the cement concentration inside the material increased, the split tensile strength of the material also increased. After a period of 28 days, mixes that contained 10% bottom ash demonstrated an increase in strength of approximately 52%. Furthermore, after a period of 365 days, mixtures that contained 10% bottom ash demonstrated an increase of 43% when the percentage of bottom ash in the mix was decreased from 35% to 15%. Both compressive and split tensile strengths rose in a consistent manner with increasing age, regardless of the quantity of bottom ash present.

By 90 days, the combinations containing 15 and 36% bottom ash had risen to approximately 56.60 and 38.66 MPa, respectively. This signifies a significant increase in strength since the commencement of the operation. However, more research is needed to understand the carbonation behavior of additional components, such as bottom ash, in the SCC process. Bottom ash is a byproduct of coal combustion, and the phenolphthalein indicator can produce incorrect results due to its alkaline chemical content.

The phenolphthalein indicator detects three carbonation zones in concrete, indicating a highly acidic atmosphere. The fully carbonated zone has over 50% carbonation, while the weakly carbonated zone has 0 to 50% carbonation levels. The pH value of the phenolphthalein indicator is a useful early warning method for reinforcement corrosion. The ratio of bottom ash to total ash has the greatest impact on carbonation resistance in SCC combinations. Blends with 10% bottom ash showed lower carbonation levels than blends without bottom ash substitution. Carbonation was highest when 10% bottom ash was used, and the carbonation depth varied between 1 and 2 cm after 90 days. The carbonation material used in concrete significantly impacts the finished product's quality.

Tolerance with Icing Agent

The study analyzed the weight loss of a material after 50 cycles of freezing and thawing, focusing on the 15% bottom ash content. The lowest weight loss was observed at the end of the 90-day period, with 35% more than 25%. It was shown that the weight loss at 365 days of age was roughly the same regardless of the % of bottom ash, which ranged from 0.525 to 0.725 kg/m2. The only exception was when the bottom ash level rose to 10%, with a variation of 0.375 to 0.625 kg/m2. In addition, the study studied the effect that cycles of thawing and freezing, deicing salts, and air-entraining additives had on the frost durability of fluid concrete mixtures. The super plasticizing agent was the element that displayed the most substantial alteration. The study concluded that fluid concrete compositions might have poor resistance to surface scaling.

This happened even with the air-spacing element in presence. He came to the conclusion that this resulted from the concrete's quite high porosity or porous character of its outer layer. Said another way, the outside of the concrete was permeable. The porous surface of the concrete led to the issue whereby the skin was somewhat porous. Although this study is still in progress, we can tell that for the great majority of combinations the visual rating calculated in compliance with ASTM C 672 was between 0 and 1 and it was never higher than 1.

Furthermore shown was how easily one could replace fine aggregates with bottom ash up to the level of replacing 20% of the fine aggregates. This result fits the observation that individuals' rates of weight loss slowed down as their ages rose as it is clear that this is the case. The degree of salt frost scaling was clearly lowered at 365 days of age in contrast to what it was in 90 days of age during the course of the study.

CONCLUSIONS

Study on SCC Mixtures and Bottom Ash-

- The study aimed to improve the compressive strengths of 28-day cubes by eliminating bottom ash from SCC mixtures and substituting it with 15–35% bottom ash.
- The researchers found that the quantity of bottom ash in a material had an inverse relationship with the material's compressive strength.
- With increasing levels of bottom ash, the compressive force and splitting tensile force of all combinations rose with increasing ages. This was the case regardless of the mixture.
- The carbonation depth of mixtures with 10% fine aggregate substitution by bottom ash was lower than those without any bottom ash.
- The combinations containing bottom ash progressively attained greater carbonation and maturity over time.
- As a result of the results of the pH test, it was determined that ash blends have a high alkalinity, which indicates that the danger of corrosion caused by these combinations is reduced.
- When the animals were 90 days old, the bottom ash content that caused the greatest reduction in total weight was 15%. This had the greatest impact. Every single bottom ash concentration was in agreement with this statement.
- After a year, the weight loss rate was essentially same across all percentages of bottom ash when the proportion was set to zero percent. This was the case when the proportion was set to zero percent.
- The study concluded that including bottom ash into the paste composition for the production of SCC is theoretically feasible.
- The research also showed that augmenting the ratio of bottom ash in concrete significantly enhances the material's durability against degradation.

REFERENCES

1. *Yahia, A. "Effect of rheological parameters on self-compactability of concrete containing variouos mineral admixtures." 1^< th> RILEM Symposium on Self-Compactin Concrete (SCC). 1999.*
2. *Kurita, M., and T. Nomura. "Highly-flowable steel fiber-reinforced concrete containing fly ash." Special Publication 178 (1998): 159-176.*
3. *Kim, Jin-Keun, et al. "Experimental research on the material properties of super flowing concrete." The International RILEM Conference. 1996.*
4. *Miura, N., et al. "Application of super workable concrete to reinforced concreted structures with difficult construction conditions." Special Publication 140 (1993): 163-186.*
5. *Guneyisi E, Gesoglu M, Ozbay E. Evaluat- ing and forecasting the initial and final set- ting times of self-compacting concretes containing mineral admixtures by neural network. Material Structure. 2009;42: 469–84.*

6. *Sahmaran M, Yaman IO, Tokyay M. Transport and mechanical properties of self consolidating concrete with high vol- ume fly ash. Cement Concrete Compos- ites. 2009;31:99–106.*
7. *Boukendakdji, O., et al. "Effect of slag on the rheology of fresh self-compacted concrete." Construction and Building Materials 23.7 (2009): 2593-2598.*
8. *Uysal, Mucteba, and Mansur Sumer. "Performance of self-compacting concrete containing different mineral admixtures." Construction and Building materials 25.11 (2011): 4112-4120.*
9. *Güneyisi, Erhan, Mehmet Gesoğlu, and Erdoğan Özbay. "Effects of marble powder and slag on the properties of self compacting mortars." Materials and Structures 42 (2009): 813-826.*
10. *Goyal, Akash. "Comparison of Self Compacting Concrete (SSC) containing Fly Ash, Ground Granulate Blast Furnace Slag." Int. J. Eng. Res. Sci 3.4 (2017): 2395-6992.*
11. *Ridzuan, Ahmad Ruslan Mohd, Mohd Afiq Mohd Fauzi, and Azman Kassim. "The evaluation of self consolidating concrete incorporating crushed concrete waste aggregate." 2011 3rd International Symposium & Exhibition in Sustainable Energy & Environment (ISESEE). IEEE, 2011.*
12. *Aggarwal, Paratibha, et al. "Self-compacting concrete-procedure for mix design." Leonardo electronic journal of practices and technologies 12 (2008): 15-24.*
13. *Dey, S., et al. "State of art review on self compacting concrete using mineral admixtures." Journal of Building Pathology and Rehabilitation 6.1 (2021): 18.*
14. *Nehdi, M., M. Pardhan, and S. Koshowski. "Durability of self-consolidating concrete incorporating high-volume replacement composite cements." Cement and concrete research 34.11 (2004): 2103-2112.*
15. *Kula I, Olgun A, Erdogan Y, Sevinc V. Effects of colemanite waste, cool bottom ash, and fly ash on the properties of cement. Cement and Concrete Research. 2001;31:491–4.*
16. *Bai, Y., F. Darcy, and P. A. M. Basheer. "Strength and drying shrinkage properties of concrete containing furnace bottom ash as fine aggregate." Construction and Building materials 19.9 (2005): 691-697.*
17. *Lachemi M, Hossain KMA, Lambros V, Bouzoubaa N. Development of cost- effective self-consolidating concrete incorporating fly ash, slag cement, or viscosity-modifying admixtures. ACI Materials Journal. 2003;100(5):419–25.*
18. *Xie Y, Liu B, Yin J, Zhou S. Optimum mix parameters of high-strength self- compacting concrete with ultrapulverised fly ash. Cement and Concrete Research. 2002;32(3):477–80.*
19. *Boel, Veerle, and Geert De Schutter. "Freeze/thaw resistance of SCC in combination with deicing salts." 5th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete (SCC2007). Vol. 2. 2007.*
20. *Ahmed, H. I. "Behavior of Magnetic Self-Compacting Polypropylene Fiber-Reinforced Concrete." Journal of Construction Engineering and Management 149.3 (2023): 04022179.*
21. *Alsheikh, S. A. "Study of sorptivity of self-compacting concrete with different chemical admixtures." Chemical Analysis 93 (2013): 3315.*